

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,616	VERDIJK, MARK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Justin Cassell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2005
Time: 2:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agrees to change the dependency of claim 8 from cancelled claim 3 to claim 1.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson II
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)